IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 636 OF 2006

Sayyed Arif Sayyed Rafique ...Petitioner.

V/s

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

Mr. A.R. Shaikh for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh More, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.M. KANADE, J.

DATE: 16th February, 2006

P.C:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner was released on bail by order dated 4/8/2005. While releasing the petitioner on bail, a condition was imposed that the petitioner should not enter the RTO premises at Malegaon, Dhule and Nashik till the conclusion of the trial.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the original accused No.2 has been released on bail and no such condition has been imposed upon him. Further, while releasing the applicant on bail the trial court has observed that the counterfeit seals

seized were prepared by the accused No.2 and not by the present applicant. This being the case, I do not see any reason why the applicant who was working as an agent at the RTO Office should be prohibited from entering the RTO premises. The said condition therefore is relaxed. The order passed by the Sessions Court is accordingly modified and the said condition is, therefore, deleted.

4. Application is allowed in the above terms and disposed of accordingly.

V.M. KANADE, J.